

**FACULTY SUPERVISOR’S EVALUATION**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **STUDENT’S PARTICULARS (to be filled by student)** | | | |
| Student’s Name |  | | |
| I.C. No. @ Matric No. |  | Programme | SEBB / SEBBH / SEEE / SEEEH / SEEL / SEELH / SEEM / SKEE / SKEL / SKEM / SMBE |
| Company’s Name and Address |  | | |
| Title of Project  (Chapter III) |  | | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **(I) SCHOOL SUPERVISOR’S EVALUATION (20%) (PLO7) (to be filled by School Supervisor)** | | | |
| **Sections and Criteria** | **Mark**  **(a)** | **Weight**  **(b)** | **Score**  **(a×b)** |
| **Able to apply things learned at the university in their training place**   * Excellent – Company’s business nature matches the student’s programme * Good – Company’s business nature is related to the student’s programme * Moderate – General knowledge and soft skill can be applied * Fair – Student only experience a fair working environment * Poor – Company does not provide suitable environment | ➄  ➃  ➂  ➁  ➀ | 1 |  |
| **Able to enhance the knowledge and skills related to the industrial needs** *(adaptable, problem-solving, innovative, creative, lifelong-learning, interpersonal skill)*   * Excellent – All of the above criteria * Good – Most of the above criteria * Moderate – Several of the above criteria * Fair – Few of the above criteria * Poor – Little of the above criteria | ➄  ➃  ➂  ➁  ➀ | 2 |  |
| **Feedback from The Industrial’s Supervisor regarding student’s *achievement*** *(work ethics, integrity, communication skill and teamworking)*   * Excellent * Good * Moderate * Fair * Poor | ➄  ➃  ➂  ➁  ➀ | 1 |  |
| **Total Score (A)** | | |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **(II) LOG BOOK EVALUATION (10%) (PLO6) (to be filled by School Supervisor)** | | | | | | | | |
| **Sections and Criteria** | | | | | **Mark**  **(a)** | | **Weight**  **(b)** | **Score**  **(a×b)** |
| **Organisation**   * Neat with daily record and endorsed by supervisor once a week * Cluttered, daily record and endorsed by supervisor once a week * Missed some daily record and endorsed by supervisor once a week * Missed some daily record and not endorsed by supervisor * No significant record and not endorsed by supervisor | | | | | ➄  ➃  ➂  ➁  ➀ | | 0.5 |  |
| **Evidence of minutes of meetings, items of discussion**   * Relevant and presented in suitable format * Relevant but presentation is cluttered * Somewhat relevant * Unrelevant * Not apparent | | | | | ➄  ➃  ➂  ➁  ➀ | | 0.5 |  |
| **Evidence of concepts, sketches, preliminary ideas, sketches of circuit design, flow charts etc., experimental procedures, experimental data, output etc., rough calculations**   * Relevant and presented in suitable format * Relevant but presentation is cluttered * Somewhat relevant * Remotely relevant * Not apparent | | | | | ➄  ➃  ➂  ➁  ➀ | | 0.5 |  |
| **Solution to the problems encountered during Training is explained in detail**   * Detail explanation of the problem and solution * Moderate explanation of the problem and solution * Explain the problem without proper solution * Explain the solution for a vague problem * Not apparent | | | | | ➄  ➃  ➂  ➁  ➀ | | 0.5 |  |
| **Total Score (B)** | | | | | | | |  |
| **(III) INDUSTRIAL SUPERVISOR’S EVALUATION (30%) (refer to SKE-LP4 form)** | | | | | | | | |
| **Total Score (C)** | | | | | | | |  |
| **(IV) INDUSTRIAL TRAINING REPORT EVALUATION (40%) (refer to SKE-LP6 form)** | | | | | | | | |
| **Total Score (D)** | | | | | | | |  |
| **Total Overall Score - 100% (A+B+C+D)** | | | | | | | |  |
| Supervisor’s comment (if required) | | | | | | | | |
| Supervisor’s Name |  | | | | | | | |
| Signature |  | | | Date | |  | | |
| **Training Result (Please tick appropriate box)** | | | | | | | | |
| **PASS** | |  | **FAIL** | | | | |  |