

FAKULTI KEJURUTERAAN ELEKTRIK UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

MASTER MKE* 1826 PROJECT REPORT EVALUATION FORM

CANDIDATE PARTICULARS

Name:		Program:	
Location:	□JB □KL □PG	Enrollment:	
			□Part-time
Email:		IC/Passport No:	
Research Topic:		Handphone No:	
		Research	Completed
		Methodology	Currently Enrolled
Supervisor:			

INSTRUCTIONS TO CANDIDATE AND EXAMINERS

Candidate must fill all particulars prior to assessment.
 ☑ Check the appropriate field for marks.

3. Turnitin report must **be less than 30%.** Candidate must attach Turnitin report for overall project report.

RP 2-3

ASSESSMENT

Project Methodology (PO3) – 10 Marks					
 <u>Systematic</u> methodology is presented. Objectives are fulfilled and <u>significant contributions</u> are highlighted 	890	=			
Methodology of project is presented. Objectives are mostly fulfilled that value-add existing work.	4567				
 Methodology <u>partially fulfills</u> stated objectives or contains <u>plagiarized content</u>. 	0123				
Results and Analysis (PO2) – 10 Marks					
 Results are presented clearly with <u>comprehensive analysis</u> and evaluations that have been performed using relevant tools. 	891				
 Sufficient results are presented clearly and indicate that <u>sufficient analysis</u> and evaluation have been performed using relevant tools. 	4567	=			
 Insufficient results are presented and/or lack of analysis or evaluation of data. 	0123				
Project Accomplishment (PO1) – 10 Marks x 1.5 = 15 Marks					
 Results showed that student have successfully designed a system, component or process and achieved all project's objectives. <u>Clear scientific reasoning</u> is provided to justify the findings of project. 	890	x 1.5 =			
 Results showed that student have <u>sufficiently</u> managed to design a system, component or process to adequately solve the problem presented. 	4567	X 1.J -			
 Results showed that the student has put insufficient effort to solve the problem presented, and/or the solution presented is not satisfactory. 	0123				
Lifelong Learning (PO6) – 5 Marks					
 Able to <u>identify significance</u> of proposed work in contrast to other works in literature, can identify its <u>limitation</u> and suggest <u>improvements for future</u> works. 	4 5	П			
 <u>Sufficient</u> amount of critical review found or suggestion for improved future works. 	23	_			
 Insufficient review related work and suggestion for future work found. 	01				
Writing Skills (PO5) – 10 Marks x 2.5 = 25 Marks					
 Report is <u>well structured</u>, explains methodology well, <u>provides in-depth analysis</u> of results, and has clear <u>scientific reasoning</u>. Sentences easy to understand. <u>No grammatical</u> errors. 	890				
 Report is structured; methodology and <u>analysis</u> of results are present, but with unclear <u>scientific</u> reasoning. Report <u>well written</u> but occasionally some points are not easy to understand. <u>Some</u> <u>grammatical errors</u> present. 	4567	x 2.5 =			
 Report <u>not well written</u> with many grammatical errors and <u>difficult to understand</u>. Missing critical elements of a good report. 	0123				
Code of Ethics (PO4) – 5 Marks					
• Adhere to academic code of conducts, made proper citations and gave credits to original authors.	345	=			
Contents of work were not credited to original authors, or plagiarized work was presented	012				
Evaluator's comments/suggestions (use the other side of this page if required):	TOTAL M	ARKS			
Name and signature of supervisor/examiner:	70 Date:				

FORMATTING GUIDELINES

- 1. Follows all formatting rules described in the UTM Thesis guideline e.g. margins, line spacing.
- 2. References are properly formatted according to proper referencing style. All references are cited in text.
- 3. English abstract properly written and describes the work sufficiently.
- 4. Malay abstract properly written and adheres to the English abstract.
- 5. Clear and high quality graphics are used in text. (e.g. Flowchart, charts, figures etc.)
- 6. Equations are properly numbered and cited in text.