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| **Objective, scope and problem statement (PO3) – 5 Marks** |
| * Clear and realistic objectives and scope. Project is significant and clearly described.
 | ➃➄ | = |
| * Objectives and project are unrealistic. Either too simple or too advanced.
 | ➁➂ |
| * Objectives, scope and problem to be solved are not clear, or are plagiarized.
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| **Literature review (PO1) – 10 Marks** |
| * A comprehensive recent related works have been found and clearly explained. Clear research gap has been critically justified.
 | ➇➈➉ | = |
| * Sufficient amount of related works have been reviewed. Research gap has been justified.
 | ➃➄➅➆ |
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 | 🄋➀➁➂ |
| **Research Methodology (PO3) – 5 Marks** |
| * Student is clear on steps to be taken to solve the problem. Research methodology is excellent. Good preliminary works have been done.
 | ➃➄ |  =  |
| * Student is clear on the steps to be taken, however the methodology is not realistic. Some preliminary work has been done.
 | ➁➂ |
| * Student has a vague idea on what to do. Research methodology is inadequate. No preliminary work has been done.
 | 🄋➀ |
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 | ➃➄ | = |
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 | ➁➂ |
| * No preliminary results reported.
 | 🄋➀ |
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| * Explanation is clear and highly convincing. Able to answer questions well.
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 | ➁➂ |
| * Presentation is difficult to comprehend. Questions are not answered properly or are often misunderstood.
 | 🄋➀ |
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